UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,139	05/15/2006	Melchor Daumal Castellon	001058-00036	1794
27557 BLANK ROME	7590 03/08/201 E LLP	EXAMINER		
WATERGATE			REDMAN, JERRY E	
600 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/08/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	10/579,139	CASTELLON, MELCHOR DAUMAL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jerry Redman	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ja	nuary 2011				
·					
, <u> </u>	, —				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
· _					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
· _	election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

The status of the claims is as follows:

Claim 2 has been cancelled; and

Claims 1 and 3-6 are herein addressed below.

Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant has amended the specification (1/6/2011) to include a definition of "inflection" which was not previously included in the original specification.

Claims 1 and 3-6 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In lines 3-5, the applicant states:

"the window trajectory being determined by an angle of departure formed by a vertical axis and an axis defined to an upper curvature of the rail and by a curvature radius of the window trajectory".

How can the window trajectory be determined by itself? Then the applicant further states that

"the rail defines a substantially curved trajectory without change of inflection (or newly added change of curvature from convex to concave or visa verse). Application/Control Number: 10/579,139 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

Is the rail having a curved trajectory in lines 7-8 the same or different than the "upper curvature of the rail" recited in line 4?

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

As best understood, claims 1 and 3-6 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (4,633,613) in view of Bickerstaff (4,589,227). As shown in Figure 10, Kobayashi et al. (4,633,613) discloses an operating device comprising a slider (58) joined (60 and 62) to a window (18) which slides along a guide rail (54) having a substantially curved trajectory including several curves (more than two) without points of inflection and an angle of departure formed by and between +45° and -45° from the vertical. Kobayashi et al. (4,633,613) fail to disclose an adjusting means to position the device. Bickerstaff (4,589,227) discloses adjusting means (oblong slots on the top and oblong slots on the bottom. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the operating device of Kobayashi et al. ('613) with adjusting means as taught by Bickerstaff (4,589,227) since an adjusting means allows the device to mounted under less tolerances.

The applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. The applicant is arguing the definition of "inflection" and as stated above, the newly amended phraseology to include a definition at this point in the prosecution is considered new matter. The applicant further argues that "a single guide rail" further limits the claimed invention over the art of record. The Examiner respectively disagrees. If the applicant were to state "An opening device for a vehicle consisting of...", then the single guide rail would carry weight but since the applicant has not limited the claims as such, the claims still read on the art of record.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,139 Page 5

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Redman whose telephone number is 571-272-6835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH from 8 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Mitchell, can be reached on 571-272-7069. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

/Jerry Redman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3634